Educational Innovation Research, 2026, Volume 4, Issue 7
https://bbwpublisher.com/journal/EIR
Online ISSN: 3029-1852
Print ISSN: 3029-1844

Reforming Classroom Instruction through the Integration of Teaching, Learning, and Assessment: A Practice-Oriented Framework

Qingye Tang*

Border Defense Management Department, Hainan Police College, Haikou, Hainan 571154, China

Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Copyright: © 2026 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

Abstract: Competency-oriented curriculum standards can shape classroom practice only when teaching, learning, and assessment work as one process. Many lessons still separate them. Teachers place objectives in lesson plans, follow the textbook in class, and check learning mainly through homework and tests. This article treats integration as more than a formal match among objectives, activities, and assessment. It uses learning objectives as the basis for classroom decisions. Teachers first turn curriculum standards into clear statements of what students should know or be able to do. They then design tasks that show whether students have reached those goals and arrange activities that help them do so. Evidence gathered before a lesson shows what students already know. Evidence collected during the lesson guides changes in content, pace, and support. Feedback shows students what they have achieved and where they still need work. Results from one lesson also shape the next set of priorities, follow-up tasks, and lesson plans. The model moves from objectives to evidence and then to action. In this cycle, assessment supports learning and guides later teaching. Its role extends beyond assigning a final score.

Keywords: Integration of teaching, learning, and assessment; Classroom instruction reform; Learning objectives; Assessment tasks; Evidence of learning

Online publication: July 26, 2026

1. Introduction

Competency-oriented reform raises a practical question: how can teachers tell whether classroom work is moving students toward the intended goals? The answer depends on treating teaching, learning, and assessment as parts of the same lesson rather than as separate events. This article calls that working relationship teaching-learning-assessment (TLA) integration. Shared goals guide lesson planning and classroom activity, while evidence of learning shapes what teachers and students do next. Alignment covers only part of this relationship. It checks whether goals, content, and cognitive demand match. Integration

adds a further step: teachers act on the evidence, and students act on the feedback. A teacher may change the pace, explanation, or task when students' work reveals a difficulty. Students can use comments on that work to improve their next attempt. The discussion applies this view to basic education classrooms. Lesson design connects goals with assessment tasks and suitable learning experiences. Classroom practice then moves from checking prior knowledge to setting tasks, gathering evidence, and making changes during the lesson. Results from that work help teachers decide what should come next. Assessment still records achievement, but it also supports the next teaching choice and the student's next step.

2. Core requirements for classroom reform through TLA integration

2.1. Organizing instruction around learning objectives

TLA integration first requires learning objectives to serve as the common reference point for teacher instruction, student learning, and classroom assessment. Learning objectives are neither lists of content nor descriptions of what the teacher intends to do. They are classroom-level specifications of the developmental expectations set out in curriculum standards and describe the anticipated changes in students' conceptual understanding, practical capabilities, and core competencies. Objectives should be formulated from the learner's perspective, with due regard for the curriculum standards, the content of the teaching materials, and students' prior knowledge, and should express the intended outcomes in observable forms of performance. Lesson openings, questions, explanations, inquiry activities, and practice should all contribute to objective attainment. Activities that are only loosely connected to the objectives, or that produce visible participation without substantive learning, should be streamlined or redesigned. The focus of the classroom can thereby shift from whether every planned stage has been completed to whether students have actually learned [1].

2.2. Using assessment tasks to determine learning outcomes

Assessment tasks are the principal means of connecting learning objectives with evidence of learning outcomes. Their primary function is not simply to generate a score, but to elicit performance from which the degree of objective attainment can reasonably be inferred. Each task should correspond to its objective in content domain, cognitive demand, and mode of performance. If an objective requires students to explain, argue, or solve a problem, assessment cannot stop at questions that test recall. If the objective calls for integrated application or transfer, the task must place students in a suitable context. Classroom questioning, in-class exercises, demonstrations, and presentations can all serve an assessment function, provided that they have a clear evidentiary purpose and explicit criteria for judgment. They should reveal both what students have learned and the level at which they can use it. Evidence should include learning processes as well as stage outcomes, represent students at different levels of attainment, and avoid treating the correct responses of a few students as evidence for the learning of the whole class [2].

2.3. Using feedback to inform instructional adjustment

Feedback serves little purpose when it leaves the next lesson unchanged. Teachers can compare the intended goal with students' answers, written work, classroom performance, and self- or peer reviews. The pattern in this evidence matters. If much of the class shares the same error, the teacher may revisit the idea, use a new example, or redesign the task. A problem confined to a few students may need individual help, while uneven progress may call for a change in pace or difficulty. Students also need more than a score. Effective

comments show how their work compares with the goal and suggest a realistic next step; stock remarks such as “good work” or “try harder” provide no such direction. When teachers and students act on the evidence, classroom work follows actual learning rather than a fixed teaching sequence [3].

3. Designing classroom instruction for objective attainment

3.1. Deriving learning objectives from curriculum standards

A curriculum standard is written for a stage of schooling, not for one lesson. It sets broad expectations for student growth and academic performance. Textbooks and other materials supply the content for teaching, but they do not convert those expectations into goals that students can reach in a unit or lesson. Lesson design must do that work. A useful objective draws on several sources. The teacher needs to connect the stated core competencies, content requirements, and achievement standards with the structure of the materials and the students’ prior knowledge. The objective should state the new understanding or practical ability that students are expected to gain. It should also show the setting in which students will demonstrate that learning. Unit objectives set the wider direction, while lesson objectives mark attainable steps within it. Goals that are too broad give teachers little help in choosing activities or judging progress. Long lists of narrow goals cause a different problem: they break larger competencies into isolated skills.

3.2. Designing assessment tasks to generate evidence of objective attainment

A learning objective becomes assessable only when it is translated into evidence that can be elicited and interpreted. The professional value of an assessment task lies in the defensible connection it establishes between the objective and the evidence. Core competencies are not directly observable; teachers infer students’ understanding and capability from their answers, actions, products, and problem-solving processes. Assessment design should therefore begin with the performance specified in the objective rather than with a preferred question type or activity format. When an objective concerns conceptual understanding, the evidence should show whether students can explain relationships and justify claims, not merely reproduce terminology. When an objective concerns transfer, the task should alter the problem context and require students to identify relevant conditions and select a method independently. Assessment criteria must likewise describe differences in performance in relation to the central meaning of the objective. Results may be distorted when a task depends heavily on presentation skills, technical operations, or group roles that are incidental to the construct being assessed. Controlling such sources of irrelevance and corroborating judgments across multiple forms of performance can strengthen the validity of the resulting inference.

3.3. Sequencing learning activities in light of assessment tasks

An assessment task shows what students must eventually be able to do. Teachers can start from that point and ask what knowledge, ways of thinking, and practice students need before they can perform independently. The resulting sequence may begin with earlier learning, move into the study of a new idea, and then give students chances to use it in familiar and unfamiliar situations. Examples, guiding questions, and group work can support the first attempts. As students gain confidence, the teacher reduces that help. This approach does not turn instruction into test preparation. Its purpose is to give students enough experience to develop the ability that the task will assess. The objective names the intended learning, while the assessment task shows what evidence would demonstrate it. Classroom activities give students a chance to produce that evidence.

One task may serve both learning and assessment. Students can deepen their understanding while completing it, and their work can show how far they have reached the objective.

4. Implementing TLA integration in the classroom

4.1. Using diagnostic assessment to establish students' starting points

Diagnostic assessment does not classify students in general terms as 'strong' or 'weak.' It identifies the specific distance between their existing knowledge and the requirements of the new lesson. Through advance tasks, requests for conceptual explanations, or carefully selected problems, teachers can examine the prerequisite knowledge on which new learning depends, stable misconceptions in students' prior understanding, and the strategies they habitually use to solve problems. The resulting information is a provisional judgment about the starting point for learning rather than a fixed label of ability. It indicates where the lesson should begin, which content can receive less attention, and which barriers must be addressed first. It also provides a baseline against which later changes in learning can be interpreted.

4.2. Advancing objective attainment through learning tasks

Activities matter because of the thinking they require, not simply because students finish them. A discussion, presentation, or practical exercise serves the lesson only when it draws students into the main problem behind the learning goal. During the task, teachers need to notice how students use earlier knowledge, make sense of new material, and change an initial view when they meet a different explanation. Progress appears in those changes, not in a completed worksheet or presentation alone. When students cannot move forward, a useful prompt directs them back to the links between ideas instead of giving them the answer. The teacher can reduce this help as their understanding becomes firmer. A later task should change the material or setting and ask students to use the same idea on their own. Deeper understanding, a more reliable method, and successful use in a new situation provide stronger evidence that the goal has been reached.

4.3. Collecting performance evidence to monitor learning progress

A busy classroom can give a false picture of learning. Many students may raise their hands, speak often, or finish quickly, yet these signs reveal participation more clearly than understanding. Better evidence lies in the reasons students give, the steps they choose, the changes they make after an error, and the way they handle a new task without help. Teachers need to gather such evidence throughout the lesson and relate it to the ability named in the learning goal. Teachers also need evidence from students across the class, not only from those who respond first. One correct reply says little about the class as a whole, and a shared product may hide what each group member can do. Oral explanations, written work, and direct observation of performance should be considered together. This combination helps teachers judge whether a student has mastered the target skill, copied a method, or reached the correct answer by chance.

4.4. Adjusting instruction in response to assessment evidence

Assessment evidence has formative value only when it changes what happens next in teaching and learning. Teachers need to interpret student performance against the objectives and the relevant learning progression, distinguishing among gaps in prerequisite knowledge, conceptual misunderstandings, inappropriate task difficulty, and insufficient or excessive support. If most students are unable to proceed, the planned sequence

should be paused so that supplementary materials or a different representation can restore the necessary foundation. If understanding has begun to form but remains unstable, teachers should preserve an appropriate level of challenge and use comparison, probing questions, or tasks with altered conditions to refine it. Once the expected level has been reached, repeated explanation should give way to transfer and application. Such adjustment changes the route to the objective rather than lowering the objective itself.

Assessment should also be followed by an opportunity to respond again, revise a product, or repeat an operation, so that new performance can show whether the original gap has narrowed. Where self- and peer assessment are appropriate, teachers should state the criteria in advance and guide students to examine their work against those criteria, justify their judgments, and complete a revision. Students then do more than provide evidence of learning: they participate in interpreting that evidence and acting on it. Over time, assessment can become part of their capacity to regulate their own learning.

5. Converting classroom assessment results into instructional decisions

5.1. Setting subsequent instructional priorities based on objective attainment

At the end of each lesson, teachers should organize assessment results by lesson objective, recording the level of attainment, representative evidence, and principal difficulties for each objective. A whole-lesson average percentage of correct responses obscures important differences among objectives. Where a foundational objective is essential to later learning, supplementary instruction may be needed even if only a small number of students have not attained it. Content that most students understand but cannot yet apply consistently may be revisited through spaced review in subsequent lessons. Objectives that have been securely attained should move into new problem contexts rather than receive repeated explanation. Subsequent priorities can thus be classified as content that must be remediated, learning that needs consolidation, and capability that is ready for extension. This classification directs limited classroom time toward the most consequential barriers. It also avoids both reteaching an entire lesson because of a few errors and advancing according to schedule while unresolved difficulties persist.

5.2. Assigning targeted tasks in response to learner differences

Subsequent tasks should respond to the specific difficulties revealed by assessment. Students who lack prerequisite knowledge may complete brief remedial tasks that restore connections between prior and new learning. Misconceptions are better addressed through comparisons of examples and counterexamples, evaluation of competing claims, or error-analysis tasks that make the original reasoning visible. Students who can reproduce knowledge but cannot use it need practice under changed conditions and should explain why a particular method is appropriate. Those who have attained the objective consistently can move to more integrative tasks that extend the range of application through explanation, argument, or solution design.

Targeted provision should not harden into fixed ability groups. A student may display different levels of attainment across different objectives; task allocation should therefore follow current, objective-specific evidence and change as learning changes. Teachers may retain a common core task while varying the amount of material, degree of prompting, complexity of context, or expected product. Students with weaker foundations should receive the scaffolding needed to re-enter the common learning process, not remain indefinitely in mechanical practice. Students who progress quickly require greater intellectual depth rather than merely a larger number of exercises. A brief reassessment after task completion should determine

readiness for subsequent learning, ensuring that differentiation continues to serve attainment of the shared objectives.

5.3. Revising lesson designs through cumulative assessment evidence

Lesson plans improve when teachers compare evidence from more than one class. A record for each learning goal can show which difficulties return, where the original lesson fell short, what was changed, and whether the change helped. If several classes struggle with the same goal, the design itself may be at fault. The goal may cover too much content, the task may be set at the wrong level, or the lesson may skip an important step in students' thinking. Another warning sign appears when students complete familiar exercises but fail on a later problem. Earlier practice may have been too uniform to help students use their learning in a new setting. Before changing the tasks, teachers need to examine the errors, students' prior knowledge, and the time between the lesson and the later check. Those details can guide the choice of different forms of practice or a task set in a new situation. Long explanations and repeated activities also need revision when they take up class time but produce little useful evidence. Teachers can shorten such work and move the assessment to a better point in the lesson.

A revised lesson design should specify both what has been retained and what has changed. Teachers need to record modifications to objective statements, task sequence, learning materials, scaffolding, and time allocation, and then use comparable evidence in the next teaching cycle to evaluate the effects. Changes that consistently improve learning can become stable features of the design; those with limited effects require further revision. Across successive cycles, otherwise fragmented assessment results become a body of practice-based evidence concerning instructional difficulties, patterns in student understanding, and the effectiveness of particular tasks. This evidence can inform the next teaching of the same content, instruction in parallel classes, and the design of later units.

6. Conclusion

The most consequential change in classroom reform is not the outward form of activities but the basis on which instructional effectiveness is judged. In a classroom characterized by TLA integration, completing the planned sequence is no longer treated as equivalent to completing the work of teaching, and students are not merely recipients of instructional arrangements. Learning objectives translate curriculum expectations into developmental demands shared by teachers and students. Classroom assessment makes students' understanding and difficulties visible, while feedback returns incomplete learning to the instructional process for further work. The criterion of classroom quality consequently shifts from how much content the teacher has covered to what changes have actually occurred in student learning. Only when objectives, evidence, and instructional action form a continuous relationship can TLA integration move beyond the formal combination of classroom components and become an internal mechanism for sustained instructional improvement.

Disclosure statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Zhang L, 2024, Classroom Reform in Physical Education Oriented Toward Core Competencies: An Integrated Practice of Lesson Planning, Teaching, Learning, and Assessment. Sports Vision, (22): 82–84.

[2] Ding L, 2018, Problems in Implementing the Integration of Teaching, Learning, and Assessment and Corresponding Solutions. Journal of the Chinese Society of Education, (3): 66–68.

[3] General Office of the Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China, 2023, Notice on Issuing the Action Plan for Deepening Curriculum and Instruction Reform in Basic Education, visited on July 4, 2026, https://www.moe.gov.cn/srcsite/A26/jcj_kcjcgh/202306/t20230601_1062380.html.

Publisher's note

Bio-Byword Scientific Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.